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Abstract: This paper investigates gendered patterns of corruption and access to illicit networks among female cross-border traders near the Senegambian border. Despite a discourse of generosity and solidarity, I find that access to corrupt networks is mediated by class and gender, furthering social differentiation, especially insofar as it depends on geographic and socio-economic affinity with customs officers, state representatives and well-connected transporters. Issues of organisational culture, occupational identity and interpersonal negotiations of power represent important sources of corruption that require an understanding of the actual dynamics of public administration. While smuggling depends on contesting legal and social boundaries, the most successful traders (and transporters) strive to fulfil ideal gender roles as closely as possible. Ironically, trading on poverty and feminine vulnerability only works for relatively affluent women. The research contributes to an important dearth of scholarship regarding gendered aspects of corruption and the practical exercise of public authority.
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INTRODUCTION

Efforts to stem the tide of corruption are central to the good governance paradigm. The World Bank (2001) has suggested ‘that policies promoting gender equality can help clean up governments and business’ (74) and that ‘female participation in the public domain – can strengthen a country’s governance and the effectiveness of its development policy. The world cannot forgo salutary effects as remarkable as these’ (28). To the extent that women’s moral virtue can further such efforts, the goals of anti-corruption and gender equity can be seen as complementary. However, the literature that posits a link between gender and corruption ignores critical analytical questions, including the possibility that corruption impacts men and women differently and that gender mediates access to opportunities for corrupt accumulation.\(^1\) Instead, this literature relates to findings regarding the tendency of women to be more averse to corrupt practice than men.\(^2\) This is used almost solely to debate the instrumentalist potential of including more women in public office, while failing to elucidate the practical dynamics of corruption. The current paper addresses this gap by investigating the gendered dynamics of illicit networks among women cross-border traders in Senegal and the men who facilitate or hinder their efforts. The moral regulation of these networks intersects with ‘practical norms’\(^3\) of organisation in the transport sector and customs apparatus in a context of increasingly restricted state resources. These processes shape what Olivier de Sardan calls ‘real governance’ (2008). Successful law-avoidance for everyone involved requires a delicate strategy of fulfilling occupational obligations, ideal moral and gendered boundaries, as well as representing specific class identities. Preliminary evidence suggests that the moral regulation of illicit networks does constrain women in important ways, but that this is likely to result more from the social importance of women’s reputation among men, than from women’s own moral values.
Data and analysis are based on 12 months of fieldwork, from 2006 to 2008, including an in-depth survey with 100 traders, as well as focus groups near lounas (periodic markets) and life histories. This is complemented by interviews with the customs officers, transporters, market managers and traditional as well as government authority figures who constitute the illicit networks for women’s smuggling and regulate their access. As Goetz points out, to the extent that women are less likely than men to participate in corrupt activity, it is crucial to consider how much this is determined by women’s limited opportunities, particularly because the effect may be diminished as women gain access to public resources (2007). If women presented with opportunities to engage in corruption are actually less inclined to do so, a greater understanding of patterns of corruption would require considering why women behave differently than men in response to specific political contexts, as opposed to simply theoretical claims about gender roles and norms. The problem with persistent assumptions either that women are inherently less corrupt than men or that they necessarily respond to opportunities for corruption in ways that are identical to men (cf. Sung 2003; Alhassan-Alolo 2007; Vijayalakshmi 2008), is that there is very little space for understanding actual patterns of corruption. A more nuanced approach would attempt to disentangle the dynamics of gender norms and their intersection with norms associated with the exercise of public authority, thereby elucidating actual patterns of access and responses to illicit networks.

The practical dynamics of rural law enforcement and public administration embody public authority and traders’ conception of the state. As Lund put it, the ‘idea of the state is formed as a combination of people’s everyday encounters with representatives’ (Lund 2006: 689). Conflicting but symbiotic relationships between traders, transporters and officers mean that individual relationships are in a constant state of flux between contestation, competition, negotiation, and cooperation. Meanwhile, the assumption that
corruption and smuggling reflect illegality in a way that is neatly defined is reflected in the tendency to place both in a discourse of criminalisation. Thus, in a document for the World Bank, Ferreira et. al. (2007) write that

‘customs must endeavour to prevent the importation of illegal goods. Smuggling of drugs and weapons, plus large-scale smuggling of alcohol and cigarettes, places customs directly into the vortex of organized crime – with criminals using any means, from extensive bribery to intimidation and violence, to promote their illegal transactions’ (2007: 369).

The fact that alcohol and cigarettes qualify as illegal goods in this assessment is further evidence that the authors make no effort to distinguish between different sources and techniques of corruption. Because illegal cross-border trade in West Africa tends toward trade in legal goods, the failure to distinguish different forms of smuggling in this context, though consistent with the notion of rule of law, is limited in its capacity to understand not only patterns of illegal behaviour, but patterns of economic activity as well. ‘Indeed, the entire criminalisation thesis rests on a refusal to raise relevant analytical questions about the distinction between indigenous trading networks and organised crime’ (Meagher, 2003).

The relationships between customs officers and their civilian intermediaries, traders and transporters can be described as networks of illicit earnings, but do not reflect a clean dichotomy between legality and illegality. One may argue that this is simply evidence of ‘bad governance’, but it seems difficult to argue that a strategy of anti-corruption does not need to consider the practical dynamics of competing norms. For example, Lund argues that legitimacy can be claimed by non-state institutional authorities and that there may be ‘a fine line between a fee and a bribe for issuing a deed or certificate, and between
collecting a market-place tax and running a protection racket’ (2006: 696). In Senegal, Olivier de Sardan refers to a logic of negotiation that operates not only ‘within the limits of a set of stable rules, accepted on all sides, but is extended to a negotiation of the rules themselves’ (1999: 36). In Malawi, Anders argues that reforms associated with ‘good governance’ and the ‘combat against corruption’ did little more than add ‘an extra layer to legal pluralism within the civil service in Malawi’ (2004).

The claim to universality inherent in concepts of governance and the rule of law is problematic for a variety of reasons. If competing norms limiting the relevance and predictability of statutory law are simply seen as evidence of a policy or a state’s success or failure, there is little space for understanding the actual dynamics of state management. Meanwhile, studies that consider the actual dynamics of corruption consistently cite the importance of competing norms in promoting corrupt behaviour. Efforts to describe regulation in African contexts are associated with references to ‘real governance’ (Olivier de Sardan 2009), ‘institutional multiplicity’ (Hesselbein et. al. 2006), ‘legal pluralism’ (Anders 2004); ‘institutional bricolage’ (Cleaver 2002); and ‘twilight institutions,’ which ‘effectively exercise public authority’ outside the confines of the state (Lund 2006: 685).

Patterns of negotiation and corruption in this study contrast with popular assumptions about governance and the rule of law. Section two will discuss critical debates surrounding gendered aspects of corruption, which continue to focus on the hypothesis that women are less corrupt than men. Section three analyzes the discourse I encountered during fieldwork surrounding smuggling, gender and moral obligation in an effort to illustrate the way competing norms are negotiated to structure and facilitate access to illicit networks. These are intimately connected to questions of state capacity, as discussed in section four. These observations are complemented by the few ethnographic studies of corruption, but are far removed from donors’ conceptions of ‘governance.’
A possible factor in women’s limited involvement in corrupt activities is their exclusion from or more limited access to corrupt networks, a point made originally by Swamy et. al. (2001). Goetz (2007) argues that access to corrupt networks may be the key determinant of differences in behaviour, although she is careful to note ‘the lack of research on how gender mediates access to networks for illicit earning, let alone the lack of explicit documentation about how such networks function’ (96). There is some evidence illustrating how women’s opportunities in illicit networks might be constrained, while the social pressure to engage in corruption may be more binding for men than women. Furthermore, some networks may become gendered as a consequence of ‘practical norms. For Fassin (1985), the ways in which illicit networks are gendered play a critical role in determining access, but not all networks are gendered in the same way. The ability to trade in illicit pharmaceuticals near Dakar was typically controlled by the Mouride network, recruiting men who had been apprentices in the holy city of Touba. For this reason, illegal medicines in Pikine were never sold by women, except in tiny quantities. In Kaolack central market, on the other hand, the illicit trade of pharmaceuticals and bleaching cosmetics was almost entirely controlled by women. For Fassin, ‘variations by sex originate in differences in recruitment and in the composition of networks’ (166). Here, access may be gendered as much by practical norms as by gendered social norms.

Olivier de Sardan notes that social obligation is not only a function of solidarity, but is also connected to shame, a distinctly social morality. A civil servant who refused to engage in any form of corruption would be subject to ‘the shame that would inevitably befall his relatives, and which could be interpreted as his pride, his scorn for others, his lack of compassion, his rejection of family or friends, his hostility toward social norms’ (1999: 47). The use of the masculine subject in this observation does not solely reflect the
traditional universality of the masculine pronoun or the predominance of men in the civil service. Rather, this precise representation of shame among civil servants is distinctly if not uniquely, masculine. Meanwhile, women’s involvement in these opportunities can include a balancing act that must be carefully tread. Smith (2007) describes a typical story of a small government contract in Nigeria. A female secretary in a local government office convinced her boss to provide her husband with a small contract to build a fence at the primary school, a form of corruption that is reminiscent of Anders’ (2005) descriptions of civil servants’ sense of moral obligation toward their secretaries. The favour was granted, greatly benefiting the family, while the village was grateful to receive some (albeit small) funding for its school. Nonetheless, the cost of the opportunity included disparaging rumours of a sexual relationship between the secretary and her boss (Smith 2007).

There are very few empirical accounts of the ways in which pressures facing civil servants may be distinctly gendered. Although Selboe (2008) provides an illuminating account of the dynamics of network politics in Dakar, she does not analyse her data in terms of their gendered implications, which suggest important findings regarding women’s access to illicit networks. For example, a female politician gained power in her political party through her leadership in a women’s association and continues to develop her reputation and political network through those contacts. She gained praise, strengthening her constituency, by distributing rice to acquaintances and political supporters, or by securing sewing machines or vocational training for her association members (152). Even though women were able to promote their reputations in this way, ‘some of them have withdrawn from party politics as they considered it to harm their respect and position among members’ (ibid.). Men, by contrast, appear to engage their clientelist relationships differently. Municipal councillors are not salaried, yet one remains hesitant to leave his house at times because of the burden that results when ‘some of the local women spot
him… expecting “a little something” like a bank-note in their hand’ (ibid.: 149). Meanwhile, significant amounts of money are reserved for infrastructure contracts. The gendered implications of these networks are crucial. Women appear as burdensome constituents requesting small supplies or as politicians who are extremely careful to safeguard their reputations and who have very limited access to resources. Meanwhile, significant sums require the complicity of political actors as well as people working in urban infrastructure sectors, necessitating a wide network of contacts in a field highly dominated by men.

In her work on micro-finance programmes in Bangladesh, Goetz (2007) found that the types of illicit behaviours available to men were very different from those available to women. Women fieldworkers might be considered ‘local heroes’ for helping borrowers profit from illegal cross-border trade or the misrepresentation of products. Male fieldworkers might be able to make deals with elite men, getting them access to loans that were ostensibly only available to the poor or supporting a loan for a particular political constituency in exchange for a commission from the politician. The kinds of deals that involve establishing contacts between different spheres of power (political, business, industrial or rural elite) appear to be a particular site of women’s exclusion from potentially illicit networks. Thus, in Senegalese loulas, Perry (2005) argues that women traders are thought to ‘wait for customers to come, while men hustle and make ‘arrangements’ (deals, often based on bribery) to sell their crops or livestock, or to solicit political perks’ (216). This is not to suggest that female traders cannot be active in politics, cannot be among the rural elite, or that they cannot develop profitable business relationships. They are, however, likely to be constrained in their strategies as they develop connections with a variety of men. Their ability to safeguard their reputations as upstanding women is crucial to this effort.
The dynamics of illicit networks are structured by competing institutions, so that gendered access to opportunities for corruption are reflected in moral discourse, as are respondents' perceptions of the law. This section will elaborate the ways in which women depend on men’s support in their activities, particularly in terms of their investment in strategies of law evasion. Competing norms facilitating corruption among public officials are distinctly gendered, impacting men’s and women’s political agendas and spheres of influence. The next sub-section will address the role of sexuality as a strategy of male dominance impacting women’s behaviour. Finally, ideal gender norms impact the accumulation strategies of men and women as well as their justifications for illicit behaviour. The qualities that define a trader, officer or driver as admirable or deviant illustrate ideal gender and occupation-based identities in Senegal. None of these depends on the legality of a practice. For traders, the key determinants of acceptable behaviour are wealth and sexuality. For officers, choosing the right time and place for strict or flexible law enforcement is a moral obligation based on gender, class and the responsible exercise of public authority. The drivers who help traders and befriend officers have some freedom in choosing whom to befriend and how involved they want to be in smuggling. However, the moral ground when investing simultaneously in relationships with law enforcement and smugglers can be tricky and drivers have to negotiate so that everyone receives a sustainable portion of the pie.

The concept of corruption is almost universally stigmatized in Senegal as in many parts of Africa.5 ‘At the everyday level, there is scarcely a conversation without hostile or disgusted references to corruption, either of the petty type of which one claims to have been a victim, or the upper crust type about which one has rumours to spread’ (Olivier de Sardan 1999: 29). The contrast between people’s outrage in the face of abstract notions of
corruption and the mechanisms that facilitate it in practice become clear through in-depth fieldwork discussions of gendered social norms, moral obligations and people’s everyday engagement with the state. Blundo (2006) argues that corrupt behaviour, even when it involves misappropriation of tremendous sums of money is very rarely subject to professional sanction, let alone judicial investigation or imprisonment. Two customs officers recounted a famous local example. When a former head of a customs bureau continuously appropriated seized goods for months, the office was investigated because the revenue targets had not been achieved, to the dismay of the officers working there, who informally testified against him. After intervention on his behalf,6 the officer not only escaped judicial prosecution, but was not even dismissed from his post. Instead, he was relocated to a less profitable bureau further from a border (Officer, 18 March 2008; chef de poste 18 March 2008). Meanwhile, ‘petty corruption’ by public agents or civilian intermediaries appears openly tolerated in practice if not in conversation, by public agencies and private citizens.

Omar, a representative in the customs ministry, explained the moral quandary that faces officers. He left his position at the Port of Dakar, although it is known to be extremely lucrative, because the pressure to engage in extensive corruption was oppressive. He described the professional experience: ‘You seize someone and then you get a call from an old teacher you haven’t seen in fifteen years, who says, ‘come on now, you used to be a good student!’” For him, any application of the law can be associated with intense pressure from family, politicians or marabouts. Meanwhile, his departure was met with dismay and was difficult for people to accept (Ministry of Customs 10 April 2008). The pressure to juggle obligations when professional and social duties interact in this way does not necessarily provide a clear choice in a given circumstance. Pressure from within the organisational structure to be as corrupt as one’s colleagues but not more so aggravates
what this officer referred to the ‘unhealthy’ professional environment of the bureau. As Omar’s dilemma illustrates, when faced with the obligation to navigate the pressures of contradictory norms, the ‘border between the legal and the illicit becomes fuzzy. It can be moved according to circumstances, by the users of the administration as well as by the government officials, pulled between the duties inherent in their positions and the pressure of social, identity, and political networks’ (Blundo 2006: 808).

Gendered access to illicit networks is distinctly reflected in the way traders depend on collaboration with men, and state actors in particular, throughout the process of supply acquisition, transit and sales. Men facilitate access to transport networks in the collaboration with customs officers, other transport networks in the evasion of customs officers, and negotiation in the event of seizure, thereby exercising control over women’s involvement in illicit networks. These relationships intersect with those in which male suppliers provide credit and male kin provide moral support, giving women permission to trade. Access to opportunities for illicit accumulation thus depends on men’s support in a way that conditions women’s margin of manoeuvrability in pushing the boundaries of gender norms. Men’s support appears to be distinctly contingent on their perception of women’s reputations, a factor that impacts directly on the gendered dynamics of these particular illicit networks.

Importantly, gendered access to illicit networks is also mediated by class. Most traders I spoke with (60%) are never able to receive ‘tolerance’ (negotiation upon seizure) or even successfully bribe customs officers. This is true of 87.5% of the poorest women (all but two), and 37.5% of the wealthiest traders. Within the bottom two wealth quintiles, four women have successfully kept some portion of their products through a bribe, without necessarily benefitting from help (bribes ranged from 18-45% of the value of their products). Meanwhile, it is among the wealthiest group of traders that diverse strategies of
law-avoidance begin to appear, three who travel to Banjul and successfully hide their products or negotiate tariff reductions, several who had or have established relationships involving the complicity of customs officers, and those who benefit from the help of well-connected transporters.

**Sexuality and gendered expectations**

The most commonly cited criticism of women’s involvement in illegal cross-border trade is the risk of adultery or prostitution. It is common knowledge that some women have relationships with customs officers, thereby receiving tolerance and other forms of support, although there is very little empirical evidence that the practice is widespread or available to women who are not wealthy and well-connected. Meanwhile, men who criticise women’s smuggling point out that young and attractive women are more successful. Interestingly, this topic was only mentioned by relatively wealthy, urban informants. Although the topic itself is taboo, it is also likely that class and geography play important roles in determining officers’ relationships, so that poorer traders and village-based traders are in fact less likely to establish social ties to officers. Officers who are based near the border often rent a flat in the better equipped, ‘semi-urban’ town of Wack in order to be less conspicuous and more comfortable, so their daily interactions with rural female smugglers are rarely social. Meanwhile, the fourteen traders who are able to successfully evade seizure are over one quintile wealthier than the average trader and all fall within the most successful quintile in terms of trading capital. Seven of the fourteen are from the urban town of Nioro.

One driver, Dembe, explained that illegal trade was morally risky. When asked what morals were at risk, he described young, seductive women who risked becoming promiscuous, explaining that there is a ‘veiled competition between neighbours, between
rich and poor’ (Driver 29 February 2008). Although competition between female traders and promiscuity are not related, he listed the two as if there was a connection. This is reminiscent of Perry’s finding that men in Loumas ‘link economic liberalization and market activity to sexual liberty and immorality. Men deride petty trade by conflating it with female sexuality’ (2005: 216). Here, competitiveness is a trait not conforming to the feminine ideal, particularly as it relates to class antagonism. ‘Getting rich’ as a motive for women’s smuggling was cited by several informants as an inherent moral pejorative and as a dangerous precedent. Women who become wealthy in trade may ‘just want their freedom’ rather than simply seeking ‘household maintenance’. For this reason, women’s ability to protect the image of altruistic motives for income generation appears to be an important determinant of labour participation. The alternative of ‘wanting one’s freedom’ refers to financial independence from one’s husband and is related to the concern that cross-border trade will increase divorce rates. As an example, Dembe cited a particular case in which a metalworker was less wealthy than his wife and she divorced him. It is worth noting that, although several accounts of divorce in the sample were related to financial issues, there is no case of divorce that occurred after a woman became successful in trade. Furthermore, there are very few cases in which the trader appears to be financially better off than her husband.

The role of sexuality in obtaining support from officers is not limited to sexual relationships. The observation that young and attractive women are more likely to get tolerance was cited by several men as evidence for a variety of conclusions, including that married women should not smuggle, that unmarried women should not smuggle, and as an indication that sex-for-favours is common among traders. Importantly, this is not true of most men I spoke with. A large majority of male respondents described smuggling as an economic necessity for women in general. Several male informants explained that border
villages lack industry or employment opportunities and women have a budgetary responsibility that is difficult to fulfil. In villages, most respondents did not see a moral downside to smuggling but explained that the primary disadvantage is the risk of seizure. Even among rural informants who were hesitant about smuggling, illegality never appeared as part of a moral discourse. On the other hand, informants in the larger town of Nioro (a shop-owner, a driver and an officer) felt that smuggling was only acceptable for women who were otherwise unable to fulfil their household responsibilities, and each of them referenced sexuality as the reason.

The fact that sexuality is a factor (be it in the form of sexual relationships or women simply benefiting from their attractiveness) in the trader-officer relationship was mentioned by several men and no women. In part, this is because the entire discourse reflects poorly on women, but it is also because the assertion is a tool of male dominance, used precisely because it is the most effective way to denigrate women’s activities. The tendency of male informants to associate smuggling with sexuality reflects more than the concern about actual sexual behaviour. For some men, women’s skill and involvement in revenue-generating activities is linked to their sexuality. As one man noted in a study of lounas, ‘Women are better sellers than men since women have more charm (bayre)’ (Perry 2005: 216). Women’s power is thus discursively linked to their sexual allure. As a moral issue, whenever sexuality was mentioned, the discourse was pejorative (including words like ‘inappropriate’, ‘risky’, ‘dangerous’ or ‘adultery’) only as it related to women or their husbands (who are responsible for allowing them to trade). Sexuality for officers, however, seems to be morally neutral territory as it was only referred to as a fact.
Masculinity and generosity among transporters and customs officers

The moral neutrality of officers’ sexuality is evidence of sexuality as part of an ideal masculine. It is not evidence of officers’ relative immunity to moral sanction in border communities. On the contrary, customs officers operate in murky moral territory as the line between corruption/theft and tolerance/reciprocity can be difficult to define. Although corruption in general is seen pejoratively, village-based respondents, including traders, their families and other informants, were more critical of officers’ refusal to accept bribes than their willingness to negotiate. Refusal to accept bribes is seen by some as evidence of theft (that the officer intends to sell the seized merchandise for his own benefit), but even where there is not an accusation of theft, many traders felt that officers who refused bribes were inflexible or insensitive. When officers accord ‘tolerance’ to friends and family, it is not seen as corruption and is a moral obligation. It is unthinkable to most of my respondents that an officer would require full payment of dues or seize the prohibited products of a family member. Tolerance justified by sympathy is seen as both a moral good and a masculine ideal. It is understood that officers will judge their leniency on a case by case basis considering the need of the trader and the degree of separation between officer and trader (whether they have a friend in common, for example). Furthermore, as an indicateur explained, if an officer refuses ever to show flexibility by showing tolerance, he ‘will not end well,’ but will suffer mystically as a consequence of his actions (Indicateur, 23 March 2008).

Because male cross-border traders and horse-cart drivers smuggle in higher volume than women, officers’ perceive them to be more important targets for seizure. Because women’s role in trade is small, some officers referred to them as non-threatening and distinguished between criminal smugglers or ‘la fraude noire’ (primarily men) and subsistence smugglers (primarily women). While accumulation to ‘get rich’ was
discursively linked to women’s sexuality, accumulation as evidence of criminality was
discursively linked to masculinity. None of the women in the current sample would qualify
as criminal smugglers, whose imports are quantified by the truckload or at least what can
be held by a horse cart (10-50 kg sacs of sugar, for example). This is very likely a result of
exclusion not only based on women’s more limited access to investment capital, but also
women’s more limited access to illicit networks because of the negative connotations
associated with women’s independent wealth. High volume traders in the study tended to
specialize in fabric, negotiating their payments directly with officers at the border. They
indicated that once they had enough money to cross the border officially (and still profit
from trade as a result of high volume), the safety of seizure avoidance was highly
desirable. Officers felt that it was necessary, both morally and to safeguard the goodwill of
the community, to show occasional flexibility to those subsistence traders who traffic in
low volumes. An example of flexibility would be the seizure of half of the products found
rather than the entire amount. Ironically, compassionate applications of flexibility and
tolerance are mediated by class, so that the traders who benefit from generosity in this way
tend to be among the least vulnerable.

Descriptions of the type of women who deserve support are also indicative of the ideal
masculine roles informants defined for themselves. The ideal masculine role is represented
by strength, generosity, moral authority, discipline and the ability to provide. One driver,
Dembe in Nioro, exemplifies these characteristics in his presentation of himself, as he tells
the story of his decision to help traders. Having been in the army, Dembe has learned
discipline and respect for the law. He considers customs officers his ‘brothers in arms’ and
initially felt that illegal cross-border trade was a crime. Even now, he would not consider
allowing his own wife to smuggle, explaining that illegal trade is risky and bad for
marriage. This is partly because he conflates smuggling with sexual impropriety as
mentioned above, and partly because he sees women’s accumulation as fodder for divorce. Further, he explains that there are better employment opportunities for young, attractive women (being a maid, for example). After having spent some time in Nioro, however, he came to know some of the local cross-border traders who requested his help. He sympathized with their predicaments, explaining that these are poor women, pushed by ‘social reasons’ to engage in illegal trade and are only trying to survive. When they asked for his help, he was unable to refuse. Because he had been adamant earlier in the interview about the moral risk associated with the activity, I asked how many women fell into each category (subsistence versus accumulation and moral turpitude). Of his clients, he claims 4 out of 5 are ‘on the right path’ (Driver 29 February 2008).

Dembe sees himself as unable to refuse women he knows because they are vulnerable, forced into illegal trade because they are forced into poverty. Further, he is unusually able to help because of his car and his connections to customs officers. He also benefits from this exchange by strengthening his own reputation and social network. Dembe’s conception of an ideal masculine role is one who is strong, able and generous, which allows him to protect his friends’ ideal feminine vulnerability. Of course, the women Dembe has chosen to help are not among the most vulnerable traders. Class plays an important role in determining which poor women a driver might help in that the most vulnerable traders do not have the opportunity to befriend Dembe. His education, relative wealth and connection to customs officers place him in a socioeconomic position that is not accessible to those traders who are most in need of help.

Transporters typically have the luxury of choosing whether and how much to help traders. Some horse-cart drivers provided free transport for female traders in their families, while others charge their own wives full price (500 CFA per sac of sugar, for example). The same diversity applies to motorised transporters, implying that generosity is a moral
good but the lack of generosity does not appear to be sanctioned. This reflects an important popular distinction driving norms based on solidarity. A transporter may choose to charge his family members as paying customers because the fee represents his own personal income. Meanwhile, a customs officer does not appear to have the same luxury (of requiring family members to pay tariffs) because, as Olivier de Sardan described in the case the village infrastructure, the funds ‘belong to the state, that is to the outside world, and therefore to nobody’ (1999: 31). Reciprocity is a moral obligation in Senegal, but motorised drivers are able to choose their level of investment in particular relationships, which gives them the freedom necessary to negotiate whom to help and what they can expect from officers. The inability of horse-cart drivers to make the same choices is a reflection of the limitations of class and geography in their social access to officers.

*Manipulating femininity*

Investing in an effective support network for illicit accumulation requires cultivating the kind of reputation that makes one seem worthy of help. Some of the more effective traders emphasised their femininity in particular relationships, further illustrating ideal feminine roles. The most successful strategy involves prior negotiation with a customs officer, a practice that effectively prevents the risk of seizure and seems to be distinctly connected to an ability to represent ideal feminine roles. In most cases, ‘cultivating femininity’ involved proactively showing deference to one’s husband or describing the ways in which one’s husband is a good provider and is supportive of her activities. Several successful women are heavily involved in volunteer activities or those associated with health or education and describe their activities in terms of solidarity. This is in distinct contrast with the majority of traders who did not particularly mention their husbands except in response to questions about. Most traders appeared to be pre-occupied with matters of practical necessity.
One successful woman from Nioro, Amie, explained that she began trading when her husband lost a high paying job with an NGO in 1986. Because he had always been an excellent provider and had never denied her the things she needed, it was important for her to do everything she could to keep the family afloat when he was laid off. For start-up capital, he gave her the 250,000 CFA severance package from the NGO. This tone incorporates several facets of the ideal gender roles in Senegal. Not only does she show deference to her husband, but she protects the image of his masculinity by portraying him as the consummate provider. In order to provide for her family, she began gardening, baking cakes to sell as well as cross-border trading. Amie’s case is exceptional, in that she is one of only three women to have received help from their husbands to begin trading. This is the only example of such a substantial portion of a husband’s own capital and was a much larger gift than other women received from anybody. Only one trader in the sample began with more, at 265,000 CFA, and her brother was a customs officer in Farafenni.

When Amie began cross-border trading, she went first to the customs bureau at Farafenni to explain her predicament. Because her husband was laid off, she was forced to earn as much as possible (implying she had to provide more than would typically be expected of a wife). Officers were sensitive to her problem and allowed her to buy a particular sum. As a strategy, this was especially effective because her tolerance was negotiated before she purchased her supplies, protecting her from seizure. When discussing time away from home, she is unusually careful to point out the importance of being available for her children. She is humble and charismatic, neither seeming to complain nor to martyr herself. She appears thus, a consummate wife and mother, made vulnerable by a circumstance beyond her control, having no choice but engage in illegal trade. This presentation of herself was so effective that she was almost never categorically denied tolerance (although the amount she is allowed to buy has varied).
What is telling about Amie’s experience is that she established relationships with customs officers ostensibly on the basis of a financial crisis beyond her control, exaggerating her vulnerability and skilfully displaying her femininity, to such an extent that this explanation (her husband having been laid off) continued to be effective for the rest of her career, the 21 years she had been trading before the current survey. Meanwhile, in reality she is an innovative and intelligent business woman, with significant financial and social resources available to her and is very independent from her husband. Her husband was present at every occasion I visited her. They appear to have an extremely affectionate relationship, but her husband did not exert his authority overtly. Moreover, she is one of the very few women who travel to the Gambia in the evening, a particular measure of independence because others may associate it with an opportunity to engage in romantic liaisons with officers. It is also, of course, an opportunity to sell and garden more actively and be with her children during the day, but the fact that her husband is not critical of this behaviour is indicative of their non-traditional roles. She is, by any standard, one of the least vulnerable women in the sample.

The same strategy was employed by another trader in the sample, a friend of Amie’s. Fatou, a wealthy and well-educated trader, has been the main contributor to her household since her father died in 1996. Her husband (a metalworker turned ‘politician’) lives elsewhere, but visits and contributes financially. In addition to cross-border trade, she sells snacks and teaches adult literacy. Her multiple income sources allowed her to begin trading with 180.000 CFA, a sum surpassed by only five traders in the sample, of whom only two earned the sum independently. She is able to obtain formal credit (where interest rates are lower) and trade with significant mobility in Mauritania, Dakar and Banjul. She credits expansion in her trade to business skills she acquired in a management course. Her ability to engender compassion on the part of customs officers is based on a physical disability.
When she was four years old, she fell from a significant height and has grown up with a noticeable limp. She walks slowly but does not otherwise suffer from limited mobility. Because she has a disability, she explains that customs officers pity her and are willing to give her tolerance, which she negotiates prior to purchasing her supplies.13

These women have been able to curry favour with local customs officers ostensibly as a result of a vulnerability beyond their control. However, the resources on which they draw to obtain this support (start-up capital, management skills, convenient transport, credit) were available precisely because they were not comparatively vulnerable at all. Indeed, the strategy of prior negotiation is extremely rare, for several reasons. First, it is only possible for women who are able to engender some element of affection from officers. There are good reasons to imagine that this may be mediated by class in the same way that other social investments are mediated by class. Second, it requires a degree of autonomy from one’s husband not enjoyed by all women. The decision to approach a customs officer in advance and seek assistance is precisely the kind of behaviour that is attached to rumours of sexual impropriety. Third, this opportunity is only possible for women who have physical access to a customs facility.

Fortunately for traders, prior negotiation is not the only successful strategy to gain the complicity of law enforcement, nor is conforming to a feminine ideal. Mariam benefits from a wide margin of manoeuvrability because her husband used to be a customs officer. Because he transports her products for her, she never has to worry about seizure. Moreover, she is less obligated than most traders to invest in solidarity with customers. While nearly all traders provide products on credit, most emphasised the need to respond with diplomacy or understanding for those who are unable to pay. This reflects a similar strategy for success to the findings described by Ntseane (2004) in Botswana. There, traders were obligated to invest in business relations by exhibiting characteristically
feminine traits, promoting solidarity and cooperation, without appearing competitive. Mariam, on the other hand, uses her contacts within the police force to intimidate customers into paying back their loans, an approach that would be neither effective nor appropriate for other women, even among those who are familiar with gendarmes (police officers).

Adama reflects an atypical level of success for a woman, almost single-handedly providing the household income that puts her within the wealthiest quintile. She has been engaged in cross-border trade for twenty years, having established a wide network of contacts and a significant store of capital. Her husband does not appear to contribute to the household income as they have no land and he has limited vision. He describes her contribution as ‘exemplary.’ Because she is friendly with several customs officers in the region, and provides them with loans when they lack resources in the field, she often has the opportunity to purchase seized goods. She also claims to benefit from the regulations limiting direct seizure by indicateurs. During our interview, whenever her husband spoke, or my research assistant spoke to me in French, she slept. When a visitor came to see her, she told her husband to lie and say she was not at home. Although my research assistant typically refrained from commenting on the responses and behaviour of respondents, in this case, he felt compelled to evaluate the marriage, reflecting many of the gendered moral norms described above. He explained that in some marriages, when a wife is more financially secure than her husband, she is able to ‘torture’ her husband. He is obliged to compliment her (describing her as an exemplary wife) and lie for her (a particularly egregious sin in Senegal) because she controls the household income.

Whether traders’ exaggerate their femininity in order to curry favour or push the boundaries of gender norms, the social resources that lead to success for a trader involve fulfilling the right identities at the right time, and investing in the right relationships with
the most well-connected people. None of the illicit networks encountered during fieldwork operates without the cooperation of the state, in the form of law enforcement officials, other civil servants or civilian intermediaries (Blundo 2006)\textsuperscript{14} who carry out state functions without necessarily being officially recognised.

**STATE REGULATION AND INVOLVEMENT IN ILICIT NETWORKS**

The institutional mechanisms that facilitate corrupt behaviour in Senegal are related to those that allow the state to function, including the provision of basic public services. Restrictions on recruitment and voluntary retirements from civil service in the early 1990s led to a significant reduction in the size of the state. Combined with normal retirements, this amounted to over 9,600 losses out of 68,000 total employees (Blundo 2006: 804). Only 138 agents represent the entire regional customs office of Kaolack-Fatick (ibid.), a massive geographical terrain receiving nearly all of the illegal imports arriving from the Gambia by land. The woefully insufficient transport infrastructure in the region is exacerbated by a shortage of public vehicles and funds for petrol. Meanwhile, customs officers are bound by revenue quotas and other productivity targets that are designed to incentivise productivity, but also motivate officers to elicit help from among transporters and traders. This may include loans of vehicles, money, or petrol as well as storage of seized goods and information about smugglers. One such employee explained that there are intermediaries at every level of the customs organisation, including working in the bureau, in charge of all movement of a seizure from confiscation to storage, inventory, organization and transit (Indicateur 23 March 2008). Such services are paid through funds from seized goods or fines. One officer explained the need for resources in the field, noting that customs vehicles are of low quality and lack of money and petrol necessitate help. They need to sell seized goods in order to eat in the field and to pay for water, electricity and the wages of the *indicateurs* (Officer 18 March 2008).
Customs officers remain in a given assignment for less than three years, an anti-corruption measure intended to inhibit the development of social ties. The lack of familiarity associated with these short appointments is mediated by help from the long-term community members, who are recruited as trackers, informants, and intelligence agents (*indicateurs*). These civilian informants are needed for their skills in navigating local terrain, both human and physical. Technically, it is against the customs code to hire them (although this was a relatively recent change), for several reasons: 1) civilians do not have the right to seize goods from fellow citizens so the legal grounds for their engagement may be murky; 2) their status as local experts is related to their status as non-civil servants, so their salaries can only come from illegal appropriation of funds, when non-state sources of bureau financing are already a sensitive issue in Dakar; and 3) they may not be safe in the event of armed dispute or dangerous driving and are not officially trained to do either.

Several informants were quick to point out that customs officers may use the lack of administrative resources as an excuse to legitimise their extortion of goods and services from civilians or as a front for their own appropriation of seized goods. Whether relationships are forged through purely illegal economic exchange or through a practical exchange of services, the individuals involved strengthen their relationships with one another. In this way, vertical relationships characterised by dependency or even exploitation and extortion may also be considered patron-client relationships where affective ties and reciprocal obligation play a role. Moreover, administrative exchange may be a direct means to strengthen affective ties, as in the case of officers and transporters who emphasise that their relationships are characterised by friendship.

As an example, an officer described his own experience of a typical network between the customs and transport sectors, illustrating forms of cooperation and elements of the
organisational culture of the workplace. He explained that one gendarme is assigned to a customs bureau in order to supervise the officers as an anti-corruption strategy. This cooperation has advantages, he argues, but is a ‘total failure’ as an anti-corruption strategy because of course, the officers cooperate. They are all friends with 'Abdou' and 'Modou', two active drivers/smugglers from the nearby city of Kaffrine and a worker at the gas station who provides petrol on credit. They cooperate with other informants. When officers from the Fatick customs bureau come, hoping to work because their own region is unprofitable, they are allowed to operate outside their official jurisdiction as long as they refrain from storing seized merchandise with village heads or taking official credit for their seizures (Officer 18 March 2008).

Customs officers in rural areas are particularly affected by the conflicted nature of their relationships. Much of their contact with local people involves an unequal exercise of power. Negotiating between affective ties and authoritative roles must be accomplished carefully if officers are to safeguard their already tenuous reputations in the community. Because officers remain in a particular locale no more than 2-3 years, traders and their allies must continue to re-invest in these relationships and renegotiate rules and agreements as new officers are assigned to the area. New arrivals and especially young recruits are notorious for applying the law too strictly or demanding exorbitant bribes. As they gain experience, say informants, they learn to ‘calm down’. Because new arrivals are unfamiliar with local institutions, everyone must be more careful when they are involved. For example, seizures rarely happen within the confines of the louma. Were it to occur, according to the manager of Nioro louma, private negotiation quickly follows between the trader (or a person asked to help her) and the officer in question. If the officer is a new arrival, he will be informed of the local ways and, typically, seized goods will be returned with a small bribe. This is a good example of the multitude of ways in which public
authority may be exercised by a variety of people. The politically appointed but largely powerless manager of the louma, the commanding presence of the person chosen to lay down the law on behalf of the trader, the police officer and the customs officer all play a role in regulation and the customs officer, the one person who is legally empowered to act in the situation, becomes the enforced.

Reciprocity is particularly important between motorised transporters and customs officers. Because they own cars and cross the same border frequently they are likely to cross each others’ paths frequently. One officer indicated that drivers and officers tend to be close and that drivers receive significant tolerance. Transporters also described good relationships with officers. Those who benefit from affective ties with officers and receive tolerance for their own illicit imports are likely to be among those who may be hired as drivers or who provide officers with information about other smugglers. An indicateur explained that drivers working with smugglers are often ex-traders and sometimes indicateurs as well, so that they may accept products from a trader and then call a customs officer with the tip (Indicateur 23 March 2008). When asked if the close relationship applied only to drivers of motorised vehicles, the officer nodded explaining that the horse-cart drivers’ ‘path is suspicious’, referring to their tendency to lead officers in dangerous chases (Officer 18 March 2008). Meanwhile, motorised transporters are more likely than the general population to share experiences and to be closer in socio-economic status to officers. This is partly because owning a car is an indication of relative privilege and being a customs officer is an indication of significant privilege. It is also because these activities are associated with similar degrees of mobility, contact with large numbers of people, flexible work hours and leisure time spent away from home. Moreover, transport can be an attractive sector for people who have served in the military but are unable to become civil servants, making them ‘brothers in arms’.
CONCLUSION

This paper has investigated the role of illicit networks in facilitating corruption and successful law-avoidance among cross-border traders. The study contributes to an important dearth of scholarship regarding gendered aspects of corruption, arguing that understanding this relationship requires an analysis of the practical dynamics of corrupt behaviour and the role of gendered social norms in structuring access to illicit networks. By examining the way in which men and women invest in, and compete for access to these networks, I have shown some of the ways in which corruption impacts men and women differently and particularly, ways in which gender mediates access to opportunities and networks for corrupt accumulation strategies in Senegal. Access to these networks is distinctly gendered, for a variety of reasons, including gendered patterns in labour participation, access to associational and political networks as well as social norms that criticize women’s wealth and conflate accumulation with sexual impropriety. Meanwhile, such networks are developed through affective ties that depend on geographic and socio-economic affinity with customs officers and well-connected transporters. Despite a discourse of generosity and solidarity associated with these networks, I find that access to corrupt networks is mediated by class and gender in a way that favours social differentiation, particularly insofar as access to networks of accumulation is limited to the wealthiest respondents.

Illicit networks are structured by competing institutions, so that gendered access to opportunities for corruption are reflected in moral discourse, as are respondents' perceptions of the law. Issues of organisational culture, occupational identity and interpersonal negotiations of power represent important sources of corruption that require an understanding of the actual dynamics of public administration. While limitations in the resources of the state necessitate the use of some auxiliary bureaucrats, officials also use
affective or economic networks as part of strategies for personal accumulation or investment in community solidarity. The dynamics of these networks in structuring accumulation strategies and facilitating corruption in the customs apparatus are far more complicated than the literature on corruption and governance would imply. Indeed, the fundamental difference between donors’ understanding of corruption and its popular representation in Senegal is an important factor in the unwillingness and inability of citizens to hold leaders accountable for specific corrupt behaviours, reflecting the analytical limitation of ‘good governance’ as an approach to anti-corruption strategies.

NOTES

1. A similar point is made by Goetz (2007).

2. Two cross-national statistical studies (Swamy et. al., 2001 and Dollar et. al., 1999) are widely cited.


5. For extensive discussions, see Anders (2005), Smith (2006), Olivier de Sardan and Blundo (2003).

6. The manager or ‘chef de poste’ in Keur Ayib indicated that this type of intervention is common, but did not know who, in particular, had protected the officer in this situation. When asked he answered in English: ‘Who knows? Other customs officers, marabouts, family’ (18.3.2008)

7. For numerical data, ‘wealth’ refers to quintiles calculated through an asset index using principle components analysis based on access to durable goods for the sample of 100 cross-border traders. This approach follows Filmer and Pritchett (2000) and is comparable to the method used in the Demographic and Health Survey in Senegal. ‘Class’ refers to a combination of wealth, as defined by the index, and qualitative evidence of relative power and well-being.

8. Unlike discourses associated with sexuality, greed and competition are also prime targets of women’s condemnation of other women.
This behaviour is very rarely described as ‘prostitution’, indicating that the moral assessment of such a relationship, while not positive, is not as harshly viewed as prostitution.

References to ‘border villages’ reflect the fact that proximity to the border is a distinctly identifying feature of the community, rather than an assessment that underemployment is a distinct phenomenon of border villages.

Informants I describe as ‘hesitant about smuggling’ include a son who campaigned to stop his elderly mother from trading, and a village head whose village had decided not to engage in smuggling because they were often witness to seizures.

The lack of financial assistance from husbands is noteworthy, as 23% received gifts from family members. It is also somewhat unusual in West Africa (cf. Meagher 2001; Guerin 2006).

Devleiger et. al. (2010) report a related finding in Congo, in which disabled women as a group are granted flexibility from customs officers.

For example, customs officers hire informants, trackers and other civilians to gather intelligence about smuggling and fulfil a variety of practical needs.

Regulations regarding the use of indicateurs have been somewhat murky and inconsistent over time. The recent changes when I spoke to officers in 2008, included rules established the previous year, stating ironically that they were not to hired, and specifically, were to constrain their activities in particularly ways. Later, a memo had been sent only a few months previously, stating that indicateurs were not to be tolerated in any way.
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